



Altuner F., Tuncturk R., Oral E., Tuncturk M. 2022.

*Determination of the content of antioxidants and the biochemical composition of legume microgreens.*

J. Elem., 27(1): 165-180. DOI: 10.5601/jelem.2022.27.1.2178



RECEIVED: 13 August 2021

ACCEPTED: 21 February 2022

ORIGINAL PAPER

## DETERMINATION OF THE CONTENT OF ANTIOXIDANTS AND THE BIOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF LEGUME MICROGREENS\*

Fevzi Altuner<sup>1</sup>, Ruveyde Tuncturk<sup>2</sup>, Erol Oral<sup>2</sup>,  
Murat Tuncturk<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Plant and Animal Production Department  
Van Yuzuncu Yil University, Gevas Vocational School, Van, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Department of Field Crops  
Van Yuzuncu Yil University, Van, Turkey.

### Abstract

This research was conducted in 2021, in a controlled climate room of the Van Yuzuncu Yil University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Field Crops. It was set up according to the Completely Randomized Experimental Design. Total antioxidant activity (TAA), total phenolic (TPC), total flavonoid (TFC), total ascorbic acid (TAC), total chlorophyll (TCHL), chlorophyll a (CHLa), chlorophyll b (CHLb) and total carotenoid (CAR) concentrations and their correlations in sainfoin (Lutfibey), alfalfa (Bilensoy), red clover (Dadas) chickpea (Arda), lentils (Sazak), cowpea (Amazon), black chickpea (local), mung bean (local) and maize (Arifiye) were determined. The highest amounts of TAA (4789.373 mg TE g<sup>-1</sup> DM) and TPC (791.770 mg GAE 100 g<sup>-1</sup> DM) were determined in red clover, and the amount of TFC (672.177 mg QE 100 g<sup>-1</sup> DM) was the highest in maize. The TAA content of the other plants was 6- to 8-fold lower than in red clover and maize. The TAC content of the plants, except alfalfa, red clover and maize, was similar. Cowpea had the lowest values in terms of TAA, TPC and TFC. The highest TCHL (36.632 µg g<sup>-1</sup> TA FW), CHLa (25.247 µg g<sup>-1</sup> TA FW), CHLb (11.385 µg g<sup>-1</sup> TA FW) and CAR (7.015 µg g<sup>-1</sup> TA FW) were found in lentils. Pigment values of lentils are 75-79% higher than those in the closest plant. All pigment values of the mung bean were at the lowest level and 50% lower than in the closest plant. A negative and insignificant correlation was found among TAA, TPC and TFC with TAC, a positive and significant correlation was determined among TFC with TAA and TPC, and a positive and very important correlation was detected between TPC and TAA. A positive and very significant correlation was found between CAR with all pigment properties, between CHLb with TCHL and CHLa, and between CHLa and TCHL.

**Keywords:** Fabaceae, microgreens, antioxidants, bioactive compounds, correlations.

Fevzi Altuner, Assist. Prof. Dr, Plant and Animal Production Department, Van Yuzuncu Yil University; Gevas Vocational School, Post Code: 65000, Gevas-Van Turkey, faltuner@gmail.com

\* Financed: Van Yuzuncu Yil University Scientific Research Projects Support Unit (Project ID: 9564), Van Yuzuncu Yil University Agriculture Faculty, Department of Field Crops.

## INTRODUCTION

Legumes have an important place in nutrition in many parts of the world owing to their high protein, carbohydrate, dietary fiber and high energy source food content (Bubelova et al. 2018). In addition, legumes are rich in secondary metabolites, such as antioxidants, flavonoids, carotenoids and chlorophyll. These compounds, which cannot be synthesized in the body, have the potential to counteract nutritional deficiencies (Klopsch et al. 2018). In addition, consumption of legumes helps prevent degenerative diseases, such as cancer, thanks to the rich supply of bioactive compounds they ensure (Frede et al. 2017). The antioxidant and bioactive contents of cereals, such as corn, wheat and barley, have been studied extensively (Niroula et al. 2019). Although it is widely consumed (as food and feed), the biochemical potential of some legume species (such as *Medicago*, *Trifolium* and *Onobrychis* species) is still unknown, as research in this regard mostly focuses on medical and aromatic plants (Peel et al. 2009, Kabtni et al. 2020).

Microgreens with a stem and cotyledon leaves are harvested before the true leaves emerge, when they are 5-10 cm in height depending on the plant. Sprouts and microgreens, which can be consumed in different ways by adding them to sandwiches, salads, soups, desserts and beverages, have become an integral part of the popular diet. The fact that they can be used in many formats allows them to be consumed throughout the year. Legumes are widely used as microgreens (Wojdyło et al. 2020). Some legumes are difficult to digest due to their anti-nutritional substances (Haileslassie et al. 2016). Consumption of these as microgreens, as well as cooked, might be a solution to their anti-nutritional properties (Alonso et al. 2000). However, more dietary research is needed in this regard (Cornara et al. 2016).

The aim of this study was to determine the content of antioxidants and some bioactive substances in Lutfibey sainfoin (*Onobrychis sativa* L.), Bilensoy clover (*Medicago sativa* L.), Dadas red clover (*Trifolium pratense* L.), Arda chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), Sazak lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.), Amazon cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.), mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) and black chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) legume microgreens and, for comparison, in Arifiye maize (*Zea mays* L.) cereal microgreens, grown in a controlled environment, and to reveal their mutual relationships through the determination of appropriate correlations.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

This research was conducted in a controlled plant growing room of the Van Yuzuncu Yil University, Faculty of Agriculture, Field Crops Research Laboratory, in 2021.

## Herbal material

*Onobrychis sativa* (Lutfibey), *Medicago sativa* (Bilensoy) and *Trifolium pratense* (Dadas) were obtained from the Erzurum Eastern Anatolia Agricultural Research Institute, *Cicer arietinum* (Arda), *Lens culinaris* (Sazak) and *Vigna unguiculata* (Amazon) originated from the GAP International Agricultural Research and Training Center, *Vigna radiata* (local) was grown by Siirt farmers, *Zea mays* (Arifiye) came from the Sakarya Corn Research Institute and *Cicer arietinum* (local) originated from Iraq.

## Establishment of the experiment and production of microgreens

The layout of the trial and the methods applied followed NIROULA et al. (2019). The growth substrate consisting of sterile peat, cocopite (coconut shell) and perlite mixture was obtained from a certified commercial company. It was placed by pressing it gently to cover 2/3 of the 500 cc plastic chalets and then the seeds were sown by scattering. The seeds were then covered with the same mixture in 2 cm thickness and pressed gently. The prepared material was placed in a fully controlled climate cabinet at  $21\pm 2/17\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  temp., 50-60% humidity and 16/8 light / dark periods. A Fujika 60-watt spiral fluorescent lamp was used for lighting. The cultivated material was irrigated daily by spraying with a sufficient amount of pure water. After the microgreens reached sufficient maturity, mung bean and cowpea were cut on day 7, chickpeas, black chickpeas, maize and lentils on day 11, and sainfoin, alfalfa and red clover on day 20 by cutting with sterile scissors from the junction where the soil and stem part met.

Total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, carotenoid values were measured in fresh weight (FW), and total phenolic, flavonoid, ascorbic acid and antioxidant amounts were measured in dry matter (DM).

## Determination of total antioxidant activity (mg TE g<sup>-1</sup> DM) (Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power, FRAP)

A 0.2 g sample of the leaves of a test plant was placed in a homogenizer with 5 ml of methanol, and then centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 15 minutes, after which the supernatant was removed. Later, 300 mM acetate buffer (pH 3.6), 10 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> 2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine (TPTZ), prepared by dissolving in 40 mM HCl, and 20 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> FeCl<sub>3</sub> · 6H<sub>2</sub>O solutions were made, respectively, while 10 FRAP reagent was prepared by mixing in a ratio of 1:1. The mixture prepared for analysis with 2850 µL of the FRAP reagent was diluted 20 times with methanol, next an amount of 150 µL of a sample was mixed and kept at room temperature for 1 hour. The resulting ferrus tripyridyltriazine complex was measured at 593 nm in the spectrophotometer and the results were reported as mg Trolox g<sup>-1</sup> (Lutz et al. 2011). The trolox concentration was studied in the range 0-500 ppm.

### **Determination of total phenolic content (mg GAE 100 g<sup>-1</sup> DM)**

The method developed by modifying the Folin-Ciocalteu spectrophotometric method as specified by Obanda, Owuor (1997) was used to determine the total phenolic compound content. The Folin-Ciocalteu solution was diluted in a ratio of 1:10. For saturated sodium carbonate (20%) solution, 20 g of sodium carbonate were dissolved in distilled water, replenished to 100 ml, and then filtered after being left overnight. Gallic acid stock solution (500 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) was prepared by dissolving 50 mg of gallic acid in 100 ml of pure water. For gallic acid working solution, each portion of 500 µg ml<sup>-1</sup> stock solution was prepared in 5 ml measuring flasks, obtaining 9 separate solutions with a concentration varying between 0-55 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>. 150 µl of each of the gallic acid working solutions (9) at different concentrations were mixed with 150 µl of Folin-Ciocalteu solution. After 5 min, 300 µl sodium carbonate were added to the mixture, vortexed and diluted with 2400 µl water. After that, the mixture was kept in the dark for 60 min, the absorbance value of the blue color formed was read on a spectrometer at 725 nm wavelength. The calibration curve was obtained by plotting the absorbance readings against these different concentrations of gallic acid and calculated as mg GAE 100 g<sup>-1</sup>.

### **Determination of total flavonoid content (mg QE 100 g<sup>-1</sup> DM)**

The total flavonoid content was determined according to the method developed by Quettier et al. (2000). 2 ml of 2% AlCl<sub>3</sub> were added to 2 ml of extract and kept at room temperature in the dark for 1 hour. The total flavonoid content of the extracts was measured on a spectrophotometer at 415 nm wavelength by performing 2 parallel runs on each sample, and calculated in mg QE 100 g<sup>-1</sup> by using the calibration curve prepared using standard quercetin.

### **Determination of ascorbic acid content (mg 100 g<sup>-1</sup> DM)**

2 g of a fresh plant sample were homogenized in an Ultra-Turrax (Wise-Tis® homogenizer, HG 15A) with 20 ml of oxalic acid (0.4%) and kept at 5°C in a circular shaking oven (ACMI 006) for 24 hours. It was then centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 minutes. The supernatant was used for an ascorbic acid assay. Ascorbic acid was determined spectrophotometrically (AOAC, 1990). Absorbance values were determined spectrophotometrically at 520 nm by adding 400 µL 0.4% oxalic acid and 4.5 ml (30 ppm) 2,6-dichlorophenolindophenol solution into 100 µl of the supernatant. The amount of ascorbic acid in the samples was calculated in mg 100 g<sup>-1</sup> with the help of the calibration curve drawn with pure ascorbic acid.

### **Pigment analysis**

Determination of photosynthetic pigments was performed according to Lichtenthaler (1985). Namely, 0.2 g (200 mg) of a fresh plant sample was

extracted with 10 mL of 80% acetone and centrifuged at 4600 rpm for 15 minutes. The absorbance values of the aliquots taken after centrifugation at 662, 652, 645 and 470 nanometer (nm) wavelengths were determined on a spectrophotometer (PG T60 UV-VIS) and recorded. Total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoid concentrations were calculated from the formulas developed by Lichtenhaler, Welburn (1985) given below:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{total chlorophyll } (\mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{FW}) &= (27.8 \times A652); \\ \text{chlorophyll a (Chl a, } \mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{FW)} &= (11.75 \times A662) - (2.350 \times A645); \\ \text{chlorophyll b (Chl b, } \mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{FW)} &= (18.61 \times A645) - (3.960 \times A662); \\ \text{carotenoid (Car, } \mu\text{g g}^{-1} \text{FW)} &= [(1000 \times A470) - (2.270 \times \text{Chl a})] - \\ &\quad - (81.4 \times \text{Chl b } 227^{-1}); \end{aligned}$$

where: FW – fresh weight, A662 – absorbance reading at 662 nm wavelength, A652 – absorbance reading at 652 nm wavelength, A645 – absorbance reading at 645 nm wavelength, A470 – absorbance reading at 470 nm wavelength.

### Statistical analysis

The data of the research were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) according to the Completely Randomized Experimental Design. Statistical calculations were made using the program COSTAT (version 6.303). The differences between the means were determined according to the least significant difference (LSD 0.05) comparison method and relationships between the analyzed properties were determined with the Pearson correlation method.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The contents of TAA, TPC, TFC and TAC of the legumes examined in the study are given in Table 1. The differences between the averages were found to be significant for all the properties examined.

### TAA activities (mg TE g<sup>-1</sup> DM FRAP)

Total TAA activities ranged from 394.563±8.125 to 4789.373±12.497 mg TE g<sup>-1</sup> DM. The highest TAA was determined in the red clover and the lowest TAA values were in Arda chickpea and Amazon cowpea, which are in the same group (Table 1).

Many methods are used to determine TAA activities and results may vary according to these methods. In addition, the properties of the examined material (grain, dry extract, sprout, microgreen etc.) affect the TAA activities. It is therefore impossible to make straightforward comparisons. In addi-

Table 1

Total antioxidant activity, total phenolics and total flavonoids in legumes

Genotypes	TAA (mg TE g <sup>-1</sup> DM)	TPC (mg GA 100 g <sup>-1</sup> DM)	TFC (mg QE 100 g <sup>-1</sup> DM)	TAC (mg 100 g <sup>-1</sup> DM)
Sainfoin (Lutfibey)	765.406±9.781d*	512.325±40.555b	397.269±10.332d	No Measurement
Alfalfa (Bilensoy-80)	990.417±14.555c	327.885±0.555d	366.273±11.070e	9.775±1.056d
Red clover (Dadas)	4789.373±12.497a	791.770±10.000a	472.915±23.985b	11.587±0.483c
Mung bean (Siirt-Local)	554.886±5.888f	402.885±12.225c	111.661±7.380h	13.577±0.633a
Chickpea (Arda)	394.563±8.125h	285.882±5.063e	227.528±0.922g	13.014±0.620ab
Cowpea (Amazon)	410.813±7.500h	219.550±7.780f	113.137±5.904h	12.451±0.479bc
Maize (Arifiye)	4357.917±19.094b	813.995±32.225a	672.177±10.701a	9.423±0.464d
Lentils (Sazak)	742.500±4.375e	497.330±2.220b	418.672±8.118c	12.592±0.521abc
Black chickpea (Iraq-Local)	518.427±8.534g	398.995±2.775c	271.808±3.690f	13.577±0.169a
CV (%)	0.713	3.145	3.437	5.036
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	10.711	14.847	11.647	0.648

TAA – total antioxidant activity, TPC – total phenolic content, TFC – total flavonoid content, TAC – total ascorbic acid content;

\* There is no significant difference between values shown with the same letter in the same column. Values are given with standard deviation.

tion, some studies (Kobus-Cisowska et al. 2019, Tkacz et al. 2019) determined that TPC and other bioactive compounds affect the TAA potential.

Although the tested plants belong to the same family, the TAA or TAC capacities vary considerably between species. In another study (Zhao et al. 2014), TAC values in 10 different legume ranged from 116±3e to 721±51a, the highest being in lentil and the lowest – in baby lima bean.

In a study similar to ours (Sushama et al. 2011), TAA activities of 30 legumes varied between 8.5 - 16.5 µmol FRAP g<sup>-1</sup>, the highest was in (brown) cowpea and the lowest was in (white) lablab bean. In another study (Wojdyło et al. 2020), TAA capacities were determined to be 0.1 mMol Trolox 100 g<sup>-1</sup> FW and less in legume sprouts, and 1.2±0.3 mMol Trolox 100 g<sup>-1</sup> FW (FRAP) in green pea microflora. In a study conducted on dry grain extracts (Djordjevic et al. 2011), the TAA capacities of legumes were 8.34±0.54 - 24.98±0.58 nmol Fe2+ mg<sup>-1</sup> d.e. and the highest TAA activity was determined in mung beans. In another study conducted with the FRAP

method (Sreeramulu et al. 2009), the highest TAA activities varied between 16.21-471.71  $\mu\text{moles g}^{-1}$  and were determined in finger millet (*Eleusine cora cana*) and Rajmah (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). In another study (Ghoora et al. 2020), TAA activities were detected between  $7.0\pm 0.5 - 38.7\pm 2.0 \mu\text{mol Fe}^{2+} \text{ g}^{-1}$  FRAP in 10 salad plant microphilis. In a study conducted with a Randox kit (Amarowicz et al. 2004), TAA activities in the seed extracts of 7 legumes varied between  $0.30\pm 0.02 - 1.76\pm 0.13 \mu\text{mol Trolox mg}^{-1}$ , the highest in adzuki bean and the lowest in pea. In another experiment by the same researchers (Amarowicz et al. 2009), TAA activities were determined to be  $0.68\pm 0.03 \mu\text{mol Trolox}^{\text{®}} \text{ eq. mg}^{-1}$  in red lentyl acetonic crude extract, and  $5.85 \mu\text{mol Trolox}^{\text{®}} \text{ eq. mg}^{-1}$  in tannin fraction. The TAA activity in corn (*Zea mays*) was  $68.29\pm 21.90 \mu\text{moles FRAP g}^{-1}$  (Sreeramulu et al. 2009).

### TPC content (mg GAE 100 g<sup>-1</sup> DM)

The TPC content varied between  $219.550\pm 7.780 - 813.995\pm 32.225 \text{ mg GAE } 100 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ DM}$  (Table 1). The highest TPC was found in Arifiye maize and red clover, which were in the same group, and the lowest TPC was in the Amazon cowpea.

The TPC content changes depending on the maturity phase, genetic traits and environmental factors. In addition, there is no uniform method suitable for the complete extraction of phenolic compounds from plant materials, and the available methods vary in terms of the extractability of phenolic compounds, type of solvent used, degree of polymerization, extraction time and temperature (Sushama et al. 2011).

Our findings are higher than the amounts of total polyphenols in micro-green lentil, black medick, mung beans sprouts and in green peas determined by others (Wojdylo et al. 2020), but are similar to results reported from studies on 30 legumes (Sushama et al. 2011) and 10 legumes (Sreeramulu et al. 2009). Our results are lower than data from a study on 10 legume extracts (Zhao et al. 2014) or legume dry extracts (Djordjevic et al. 2011) and 7 legume seeds (Amarowicz et al. 2004). What all these studies have in common (except Sushama et al. 2011) is that lentil (*Lens culinaris*) has the highest amounts of TPC.

In their study, Djordjevic et al. (2011) showed that the highest amount of TPC in legumes was in lentil, and legumes are the main antioxidant source in foods with an abundant amount of TPC in their grains. In our study, the highest values were determined in Arifiye maize (*Zea mays*) and red clover (*Trifolium pratense*), followed by Sazak lentil (*Lens culinaris*).

### TFC content (mg QE 100 g<sup>-1</sup> DM)

The TFC content was between  $111.661\pm 7.380 - 672.177\pm 10.701 \text{ mg QE } 100 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ DM}$  (Table 1). The highest TFC was determined in Arifiye maize and the lowest TFC values were in red clover and Amazon cowpea, which were in the same group.

TFC are abundant in all legume groups; they play a key role in the germination of seeds (Samanta et al. 2011) and in the formation of the root nodule system for rhizobium bacteria (Kabtni et al. 2020). It has been determined (Złotek et al. 2015) that the TFC content increases significantly with sprouting compared to its content in the seed.

Our total TFC results are higher than the TFC content determined in 10 salad plant microgreens (Ghoora et al. 2020) and in the raw and cooked samples of 7 commercial lentils (Bubelova et al. 2018). Our data are similar to results reported by Bubelova et al. (2018) obtained from germinated samples of 7 commercial lentils ( $34.8 \pm 1.65$  -  $55.2 \pm 2.74$  mg RE  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  DM), or by Klopsch et al. (2018), who determined their concentrations in pea microgreens and leaves (1.38 - 3.31 and 3.84 - 4.37 mg  $\text{g}^{-1}$  FW, respectively). Our results are lower than given by Kabtni et al. (2020), who analyzed the seed and leaf extracts of *Medicago minima* (10.35 mg RE  $\text{g}^{-1}$  DM), or by Van Hung (2016), who studied 7 barley varieties ( $112.3 \pm 23$  -  $241.3 \pm 62.2$   $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ).

### **The TAC (vitamin C) content (mg 100 $\text{g}^{-1}$ DM)**

The TAC content varied between  $9.423 \pm 0.464$  and  $13.577 \pm 0.169$  mg 100  $\text{g}^{-1}$  DM. The highest value was found in black chickpea and mung bean in the same group, and the lowest value was in Arifiye maize. Because the TAC content in sainfoin could not be measured, it not excluded from this part of the study.

The TAC results from our study are higher than given by Wojdyło et al. (2020), who determined TAC in green pea microgreen ( $8.1 \pm 0.1$  mg 100  $\text{g}^{-1}$  FW), and in black medick and mung beans sprouts ( $6.2 \pm 0.0$  and  $4.7 \pm 0.2$  mg 100  $\text{g}^{-1}$  FW, respectively), and by XIAO et al. (2015) in bull's blood beet ( $75.9 \pm 1.7$  mg  $\text{kg}^{-1}$ ). The current results are similar to the ones reported by Kyriacou et al. (2019), who determined TAC in coriander, jute, purple bacillus, and swiss chard ( $130.9 \pm 19$ ,  $123.5 \pm 1.3$ ,  $134.7 \pm 3.0$  and  $127.8 \pm 1.4$  mg  $\text{kg}^{-1}$  FW, respectively), and in red amaranth ( $126.1 \pm 24.0$  mg  $\text{kg}^{-1}$ ) reported by Xiao et al. (2015). The results of this study are lower than the quantities measured in lentil shoots ( $46.4 \pm 0.2$  mg 100  $\text{g}^{-1}$  FW) – Wojdyło et al. (2020) and in commercial microgreens of golden pea tendrils and pea tendrils ( $25.1 \pm 0.7$  and  $50.5 \pm 0.9$  mg 100  $\text{g}^{-1}$  FW, respectively) – Xiao et al. (2012).

TAC (vitamin C) is a vitally important antioxidant which, when taken regularly, reduces oxidative stress, reduces unwanted enzymatic oxidation reactions, and is essential for collagen synthesis in humans (Xu et al. 2005, Xiao et al. 2012, Kyriacou et al. 2019). While TAC is not found in soybean, buckwheat and mung bean seeds, it increases significantly during the germination and shrinking phase (Xu et al. 2005). However, the literature shows that the TAC level peaks in the germination stage a few days after planting, but is not very high in shoots and microgreens (Xiao et al. 2012). This situation varies depending on many factors, such as a variety, cultivation style, stress conditions, harvest, storage, irrigation, fertilization (Kyriacou et al. 2019).



## CHL and CAR contents

The pigment contents of the legumes examined in the study are given in Table 2. Accordingly, the differences between the averages were found to be significant for all the properties examined.

Table 2  
Total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and total carotenoids in legumes

Genotypes	TCHL ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TA FW)	CHLa ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TA FW)	CHLb ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TA FW)	CAR ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ TA FW)
Sainfoin (Lutfibey)	23.645±0.061c*	17.390±0.146c	6.255±0.084c	4.200±0.055ef
Alfalfa (Bilensoy-80)	26.617±0.157b	19.439±0.035b	7.177±0.121b	5.232±0.018b
Red clover (Dadas)	22.964±0.180d	16.980±0.083d	5.984±0.096d	4.365±0.037de
Mung bean (Siirt-Local)	8.423±0.035i	6.000±0.065g	2.424±0.031g	1.769±0.004h
FChickpea (Arda)	19.568±0.123h	14.451±0.043f	5.117±0.166f	4.523±0.077d
Cowpea (Amazon)	21.094±0.131f	15.396±0.090e	5.698±0.041e	4.041±0.006f
Maize (Arifiye)	20.525±0.290g	15.491±0.209e	5.033±0.081f	3.756±0.006g
Lentils (Sazak)	36.632±0.318a	25.247±0.224a	11.385±0.094a	7.015±0.380a
Black chickpea (Iraq-Local)	22.445±0.322e	16.830±0.365d	5.616±0.043e	4.838±0.061c
CV (%)	0.956	1.025	1.546	2.903
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	0.214	0.167	0.094	0.128

TCHL – total chlorophyll, CHLa – Chlorophyll a, CHLb – Chlorophyll b, CAR – total carotenoid content;

\* There is no significant difference between values shown with the same letter in the same column. The values for the properties are given with the standard deviation.

The TCHL content varied between 8.423±0.035 and 36.632±0.318  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  TA FW. It was the highest in Sazak lentil and the lowest in mung bean. The CHLa content varied between 6.000±0.065 and 25.247±0.224  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  TA FW. It was the highest in Sazak lentil and the lowest in mung bean. The CHLb content varied between 2.424±0.031 and 11.385±0.094  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  TA FW. The highest was found in Sazak lentil and the lowest in mung bean. The CAR content varied between 3.756±0.006 - 7.015±0.380  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  TA FW. The highest was determined in Sazak lentil and the lowest in Arifiye maize.

Microgreens and the color of sprouts are important factors affecting the quality and consumer choice. Generally, microgreens contain more chlorophyll and carotenoids than sprouts do (Wojdylo et al. 2020).

When our findings concerning TCHL are compared with results reported in similar research (Wojdylo et al. 2020), they are higher than in mung beans sprouts ( $6.0 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  FW), similar to ones in black medick sprouts ( $14.3 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  FW), and lower than in lentil sprouts and green pea microgreen ( $108.5$  and  $522.7 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  FW). Unlike in our study, the amount of TCHL determined by Wojdylo et al. (2020) was generally higher because chlorophyll was included in pheophytin with a ' and b ' isomers. According to another study (Ghoora et al. 2020), our TCHL values are lower than the microgreens of 10 plants ( $29.5 \pm 0.1$  -  $80.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$  FW) used extensively in raw feeding.

The results of CHLa according to similar studies (Wojdylo et al. 2020) are higher than in black medick and mung beans sprouts ( $10.5 \pm 0.7$  -  $3.9 \pm 0.1 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  FW, respectively) and lower than in lentil sprout and green peas microgreen ( $77.6 \pm 1.5$  -  $288.3 \pm 3.6 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  FW, respectively). Likewise, according to another study (Ghoora et al. 2020), our CHLa values are lower than in microgreens ( $16.9 \pm 0.1$  -  $45.8 \pm 0.3 \text{ mg } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$  FW). When compared with similar studies (Wojdylo et al. 2020), our CHLb values are higher than in black medick and mung beans sprouts ( $1.6 \pm 0.1$  -  $1.0 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  FW, respectively) but lower than in lentil sprouts and green pea microgreens ( $16.1 \pm 0.6$  -  $157.8 \pm 2.4 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  FW, respectively). Similarly, according to another study (Ghoora et al. 2020), our CHLb values are lower than in the microgreens ( $11.2 \pm 0.8$  -  $34.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg } 100 \text{ g}^{-1}$  FW). CHLa is the basic photosynthetic pigment, and plants can photosynthesize even without CHLb. However, CHLb allows the plant to photosynthesize by absorbing a wider wavelength (Wojdylo et al. 2020).

The CAR values are lower than determined by Wojdylo et al. (2020) in legumes, and by Klopsch et al. (2018) in pea ( $135$ - $264 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  FW) and lupene microgreen ( $133$ - $249 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  FW). In these studies, other components were included in the CAR content (lutein,  $\beta$ -carotene, neoxanthin, violaxanthin, etc.). Differences in the CAR content in microgreens are closely related to the spectral quality and light intensity during the cultivation and harvest phases, as well as the differences arising from the species and variety (Kopsell et al. 2012, Samuolienė et al. 2017). Another finding of our study is that CHLa and CHLb values are higher than the CAR level. This was also determined by Mroczek-Zdyrska et al. (2016) and Klopsch et al. (2018), who concluded that CHLa values were higher than CHLb and CAR values.

The conditions of our study and the light source used (white fluorescent bulb) were effective in lowering chlorophyll and especially carotenoid content compared to similar studies. Although it is possible to achieve up to 10% efficiency under white fluorescent lamp lighting (Hamamoto, Yamazaki 2011), blue light used in similar studies has a positive effect of 16-33% on photosynthetic and carotenoid pigments (Samuolienė et al. 2017). Also, LED luminous illumination significantly increased pigment levels (Da-Wei et al. 2011, Hasperué et al. 2016).

## Pigment ratios

The ratios of pigments examined in the study are given in Table 3. The differences between the means of all ratios were found to be significant.

Table 3

Chlorophyll a and total chlorophyll, chlorophyll b and total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b, total chlorophyll and total carotenoid ratios in legumes

Genotypes	CHLa TCHL <sup>-1</sup>	CHLb TCHL <sup>-1</sup>	CHL (a b <sup>-1</sup> )	TCHL CAR <sup>-1</sup>
Sainfoin (Lutfibey)	0.735±0.004 <i>bc*</i>	0.265±0.004 <i>cd</i>	2.781±0.061 <i>bc</i>	5.631±0.060 <i>a</i>
Alfalfa (Bilensoy-80)	0.730±0.003 <i>c</i>	0.270±0.003 <i>c</i>	2.709±0.041 <i>c</i>	5.087±0.013 <i>d</i>
Red clover (Dadas)	0.739±0.002 <i>b</i>	0.261±0.002 <i>d</i>	2.838±0.032 <i>b</i>	5.261±0.003 <i>c</i>
Mung bean (Siirt-Local)	0.712±0.005 <i>d</i>	0.288±0.005 <i>b</i>	2.476±0.059 <i>d</i>	4.761±0.009 <i>e</i>
Chickpea (Arda)	0.739±0.006 <i>b</i>	0.261±0.006 <i>d</i>	2.826±0.100 <i>b</i>	4.327±0.047 <i>f</i>
Cowpea (Amazon)	0.730±0 <i>c</i>	0.270±0 <i>c</i>	2.702±0.003 <i>c</i>	5.220±0.024 <i>cd</i>
Maize (Arifiye)	0.755±0 <i>a</i>	0.245±0 <i>e</i>	3.078±0.008 <i>a</i>	5.466±0.164 <i>b</i>
Lentils (Sazak)	0.689±0 <i>e</i>	0.311±0 <i>a</i>	2.218±0.001 <i>e</i>	5.230±0.238 <i>cd</i>
Black chickpea (Iraq-Local)	0.750±0.005 <i>a</i>	0.250±0.005 <i>e</i>	2.997±0.088 <i>a</i>	4.641±0.125 <i>e</i>
CV (%)	0.457	1.244	1.766	1.855
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	0.003	0.003	0.0482	0.094

\* There is no significant difference between values shown with the same letter in the same column. The values for the properties are given with the standard deviation.

The CHLa TCHL<sup>-1</sup> ratios ranged from 0.689 to 0.750. The highest ratio occurred in black chickpea and the lowest was in Sazak lentil. The CHLb TCHL<sup>-1</sup> ratios ranged from 0.250 to 0.311. The highest one occurred in Sazak lentil and the lowest was in black chickpea. The CHL (a b<sup>-1</sup>) ratios ranged from 2.218 to 2.997. The highest ratio occurred in black chickpea and the lowest one was in Sazak lentil. TCHL CAR<sup>-1</sup> ratios ranged from 4.327 to 5.631. The highest ratio was determined in sainfoin and the lowest one was in Arda chickpea.

Our CHLa TCHL<sup>-1</sup> results are similar to the ones given by Wojdylo et al. (2020) in lentil (0.715) and black medick (0.734) sprouts, but higher than in mung bean sprouts (0.650) and green pea microgreens (0.552). Our CHLb TCHL<sup>-1</sup> results are similar to the ones given by the cited researchers for

green pea microgreen (0.302) and higher than in the sprouts of lentil (0.148), black medick (0.112) and mung beans (0.167).

While the CHL ( $a\ b^{-1}$ ) ratio is high in plants that benefit from sunlight and heat, this ratio is lower under artificial light conditions (Niroula et al, 2019). Our CHL ( $a\ b^{-1}$ ) findings are higher than provided by Niroula et al. (2019) for grain microgreens ( $2.11\pm 0.04$ ) and higher than given by Wojdylo et al. (2020) for green pea microgreens (0.187) and lower than in lentil (4.819), black medick (6.562) and mung bean (3.9) sprouts. Accordingly, in a study conducted under open field conditions (Wakeham 2013), the ratio of CHL ( $a\ b^{-1}$ ) was higher than these values (3.14). Nirolua et al. (2021) determined that the CHL ( $a\ b^{-1}$ ) ratio varied between 2.27-2.65 (similar to our findings) and decreased with the growth period, also noting a correlation of this ratio with growth periods in dark and light. The researchers attributed their findings to new CHLa formed in the first period to gradually transforming into CHLb in the later period. Nath et al. (2013) also reported that as the leaves were aging, there was a gradual decrease in the CHL ( $a\ b^{-1}$ ) ratio.

Our TCHL  $CAR^{-1}$  results are higher than obtained in a study on legumes by Wojdylo et al. (2020), but similar to the TCHL  $CAR^{-1}$  ratios (4.38-4.68) determined in microgreen under etiolation (Niroula et al. 2021). The cited researchers stated that this ratio decreased rapidly with de-etiolation. Our ratios are lower than in obtained in another study under low light conditions (Niroula et al. 2019).

## Correlations

Correlations (Pearson correlation) of TAA and bioactive compounds are given in Table 4, and correlations between pigment properties are given in Table 5. According to Table 4, there is a positive and very important correlation between TAA with TPC, a positive and significant correlation with TFC and a negative and insignificant correlation with TAC. This is similar to the results of similar studies (Mikulajová 2007, Sreeramulu et al. 2009, Marathe et al. 2011, Niroula et al. 2021). According to our research, there is a positive and significant correlation between TPC with TFC, and a negative and insignificant correlation with TAC. There is also a negative and significant correlation between TFC and TAC.

The results of this study are similar the data provided by some researchers (Mikulajová 2007, Sreeramulu et al. 2009, Marathe et al. 2011, Niroula et al. 2021), who determined a positive and significant relationship between TAA (FRAP) and TPC ( $r = 0.872$ ,  $r = 0.91$ ,  $r^2 = 0.95$ ,  $r = 0.918$ , respectively).

According to Table 5, there is a positive and very significant correlation between TCHL and CHLa, CHLb and CAR. There is a positive and very significant correlation between CHLa and CHLb as well as CAR. There is also a positive and very important correlation between CHLb and CAR.

The results of Niroula et al. (2021) are similar to ours, and a significant correlation was determined between TCHL and CAR and vitamin C ( $r > 0.92$ ) as well as between TPC and TAA ( $r > 0.91$ ). Likewise, in another

Table 4

Correlation of TAA and bioactive compounds

	TPC	TFC	TAC
TAA	0.909***	0.762*	-0.064
TPC		0.848**	-0.235
TFC			-0.374
TAC			-

\*\*\* and \*\* there is very significant correlation

\* there is significant correlation

Table 5

Correlation of pigment properties

Pigment	CHLa	CHLb	CAR
TCHL	0.995***	0.979***	0.969***
CHLa		0.955***	0.966***
CHLb			0.9478***
CAR		-	

\*\*\* there is very significant correlation

study (NIROULA et al. 2019), there was a significant positive correlation between CAR and TCHL ( $r = 0.99$ ), a significant and positive between CHLa with TCHL and CAR ( $r = 0.99$ ), and a highly significant and positive correlation between CHLb with TCHL, CAR and CHLa ( $r = 0.99$ ). Contrary to those results, a study by Samuolienė et al. (2017) demonstrated a moderately negative correlation between CHLa and CHLb under blue light doses.

## CONCLUSIONS

Red clover has the highest total antioxidant activity (4789.373 mg TE g<sup>-1</sup>) and phenolic content (together with maize) (791.770 mg GAE 100<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>). The total phenolic content of red clover and maize was by 46% to 73% higher than in the other legumes. Maize (672.177 mg QE 100 g<sup>-1</sup>) had the highest total flavonoid content. The total ascorbic acid (vitamin C) content was similar in most plants except alfalfa, red clover and maize. Cowpea had the lowest total antioxidant activity, total phenolics and flavonoid content.

Lentil had the highest total chlorophyll (36.632 µg g<sup>-1</sup> TA), chlorophyll a (25.247 µg g<sup>-1</sup> TA), chlorophyll b (11.385 µg g<sup>-1</sup> TA) and carotenoid (7.015 µg g<sup>-1</sup> TA). Mung bean had low amounts of total chlorophyll (8.423 µg g<sup>-1</sup> TA), chlorophyll a (6.000 µg g<sup>-1</sup> TA), chlorophyll b (2.424 µg g<sup>-1</sup> TA) and carotenoid (1.769 µg g<sup>-1</sup> TA). In fact, the pigment values of mung bean are 50% lower than in the second pigment poorest legume.

There are negative and insignificant correlations of total antioxidant, total phenolic and total flavonoid contents with total ascorbic acid content. There are positive and significant relationships of total antioxidant with total phenolic content and total flavonoid content, and a positive and significant relationship of total phenolic content and total antioxidant activity. There are positive and very important correlations of chlorophyll b with total chlorophyll and chlorophyll a, chlorophyll a with total chlorophyll, and total carotenoid content with all pigment properties.

### Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the Van Yuzuncu Yil University Scientific Research Projects Support Unit (ID: 9564) for the support on this research.

### REFERENCES

- Alonso R., Aguirre A., Morzo F. 2000. *Effects of extrusion and traditional processing methods on antinutrients and in vitro digestibility of protein and starch in faba and kidney beans*. Food Chem, 68: 159-165. doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(99)00169-7
- Amarowicz R., Estrella, I., Hernández T., Dueñas M., Troszyńska A., Kosińska A., Pegg R.B. 2009. *Antioxidant activity of a red lentil extract and its fractions*. Int J Mol Sci, 10(12): 5513-5527. doi.org/10.3390/ijms10125513
- Amarowicz R., Troszyńska A., Barylko-Pikielna N., Shahidi F. 2004. *Polyphenolics extracts from legume seeds: correlations between total antioxidant activity, total phenolics content, tannins content and astringency*. J Food Lipids, 11(4): 278-286. doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4522.2004.01143.x
- AOAC 1990. *Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists*. 15<sup>th</sup> ed. Arlington VA, 1058-1059. <https://www.aoac.org/>
- Bubelova Z., Sumczynski, D., Salek R.N. 2018. *Effect of cooking and germination on antioxidant activity, total polyphenols and flavonoids, fiber content, and digestibility of lentils (Lens culinaris L.)*. J Food Process Preserv, 42(1): e13388. doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.13388
- Cornara L., Xiao, J., Burlando B. 2016. *Therapeutic potential of temperate forage legumes: a review*. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr, 56(1): 149-161. doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2015.1038378
- Da-Wei T., Guo-Bin Z., Fan, Z., Xiang-Mei P., Ji-Hua Y. 2011. *Effects of different LED light qualities on growth and physiological and biochemical characteristics of cucumber seedlings*. J Gansu Agric Univ, 1. [https://en.cnki.com.cn/Article\\_en/CJFDTotat-GSND201101011.htm](https://en.cnki.com.cn/Article_en/CJFDTotat-GSND201101011.htm)
- Djordjevic T.M., Šiler-Marinkovic S.S., Dimitrijevic-Brankovic S.I. 2011. *Antioxidant activity and total phenolic content in some cereals and legumes*. Int J Food Prop, 14(1): 175-184. doi.org/10.1080/10942910903160364
- Frede K., Ebert F., Kipp A.P., Schwerdtle T., Baldermann S. 2017. *Lutein activates the transcription factor Nrf2 in human retinal pigment epithelial cells*. J. Agric. Food Chem, 65: 5944-5952. doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.7b01929

- Ghoora, M.D., Haldipur, A.C., Srividya N. 2020. *Comparative evaluation of phytochemical content, antioxidant capacities and overall antioxidant potential of select culinary microgreens*. J Agric Food Res, 2: 100046. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafr.2020.100046>
- Haileslassie H.A., Henry C.J., Tyler R.T. 2016. *Impact of household food processing strategies on antinutrient (phytate, tannin and polyphenol) contents of chickpeas (Cicer arietinum L.) and beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.): A review*. Int J Food Sci Technol, 51: 1947-1957. doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.13166
- Hamamoto H., Yamazaki K. 2011. *Supplemental lighting inside the plant canopy increased the yield and quality of three-truss-ordered tomato*. ActaHort., 907: 283-286.
- Hasperué J.H., Guardianelli L., Rodoni L.M., Chaves A.R., Martínez G.A. 2016. *Continuous white/blue LED light exposition delays postharvest senescence of broccoli*. LWT-Food Sci Technol, 65: 495-502. doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2015.08.041
- Kabtni S., Sdouga D., Rebey I.B., Save M., Trifi-Farah N., Fauconnier M.L., Marghali S. 2020. *Influence of climate variation on phenolic composition and antioxidant capacity of Medicago minima populations*. Sci Rep, 10(1): 1-15. doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-65160-4
- Klopsch R., Baldermann S., Voss A., Rohn S., Schreiner M., Neugart S. 2018. *Bread enriched with legume microgreens and leaves – ontogenetic and baking-driven changes in the profile of secondary plant metabolites*. Front Chem, 6: 322. doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2018.00322
- Kobus-Cisowska J., Szymanowska D., Maciejewska P., Kmiecik D., Gramza-Michałowska A., Kulczyński B., Cielecka-Piontek J. 2019. *In vitro screening for acetylcholinesterase and butyrylcholinesterase inhibition and antimicrobial activity of chia seeds (Salvia hispanica)*. Electron. J. Biotechnol., 37: 1-10. doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2018.10.002
- Kopsell D.A., Pantanizopoulos N.I., Sams C.E., Kopsell D.E. 2012. *Shoot tissue pigment levels increase in "Florida Broadleaf" mustard (Brassica juncea L.) microgreens following high light treatment*. Sci Hortic, 140: 96-99. doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2012.04.004
- Kyriacou M.C., El-Nakhel C., Graziani G., Pannico A., Soteriou G.A., Giordano M., Ritieni A., De Pascale S., Roupael Y. 2019. *Functional quality in novel food sources: Genotypic variation in the nutritive and phytochemical composition of thirteen microgreens species*. Food Chem, 277: 107-118, doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.10.098.
- Lichtenthaler H.K., Welburn A.R. 1985. *Determination of total carotenoids and chlorophylls A and B of leaf in different solvents*. Biol. Soc. Trans., 11: 591-592. doi.org/10.1042/bst0110591
- Lutz M., Jorquera K., Cancino B., Ruby R., Henriquez C. 2011. *Phenolics and antioxidant capacity of table grape (Vitis vinifera L.) cultivars grown in Chile*. J Food Sci, 76: 1088-1093. doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2011.02298.x
- Marathe S.A., Rajalakshmi V., Jamdar S.N., Sharma A. 2011. *Comparative study on antioxidant activity of different varieties of commonly consumed legumes in India*. Food Chem Toxicol, 49(9): 2005-2012. doi.org/10.1016/j.ftc.2011.04.039Get
- Mikulajová A., Takácsová M., Rapta P., Brindzová L., Zalibera M., Németh K. 2007. *Total phenolic contents and antioxidant capacities of cereal and pseudocereal genotypes*. J Food Nutr Res, 46(4): 150-157.
- Mroczek-Zdyrska M., Kornarzyński K., Pietruszewski S., Gagos M. 2016. *Stimulation with a 130-mT magnetic field improves growth and biochemical parameters in lupin (Lupinus angustifolius L.)*. Turk. J. Biol., 40: 699-705. doi.org/10.3906/biy-1504-19.
- Nath K., Phee B.K., Jeong S., Lee S.Y., Tateno Y., Allakhverdiev S.I., Nam H.G. 2013. *Age-dependent changes in the functions and compositions of photosynthetic complexes in the thylakoid membranes of Arabidopsis thaliana*. Photosynth Res, 117(1-3): 547-556. doi.org/10.1007/s11120-013-9906-2
- Niroula A., Amgain N., Rashmi, K.c., Adhikari S., Acharya J. 2021. *Pigments, ascorbic acid, total polyphenols and antioxidant capacities in deetiolated barley (Hordeum vulgare) and wheat (Triticum aestivum) microgreens*. Food Chem, 354: 129491. doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.129491

- Niroula A., Khatri S., Timilsina R., Khadka D., Khadka A., Ojha P. 2019. *Profile of chlorophylls and carotenoids of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) and barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) microgreens*. J Food Sci Technol, 56(5): 2758-2763. doi.org/10.1007/s13197-019-03768-9
- Obanda M., Owuor P.O. 1997. *Flavanol composition and caffeine content of green leaf as quality potential indicators of Kenyan black teas*. J Sci Food Agric, 74: 209-215. doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0010(199706)74:2<209::AID-JSFA789>3.0.CO;2-4
- Peel G.J., Pang Y., Modolo L.V., Dixon R.A. 2009. *The LAP1 MYB transcription factor orchestrates anthocyanidin biosynthesis and glycosylation in Medicago*. The Plant J, 59(1): 36-149. doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03885.x
- Quettier-Deleu C., Gressier B., Vasseur J., Dine T., Brunet J., Luyck M., Cazin M., Cazin J.C., Bailleul F., Trotin F. 2000 *Phenolic compounds and antioxidant activities of buckwheat (Fagopyrum esculentum Moench) hulls and flour*. J Ethnopharmacol, 72: 35-40. doi.org/10.1016/S0378-8741(00)00196-3
- Samanta A., Das G., Das S.K. 2011. *Roles of flavonoids in plants*. Carbon, 100(6): 12-35. ISSN: 0975-0525 (print).
- Samuolienė G. Viršilė A., Brazaitytė A., Jankauskienė J., Sakalauskienė S., Vaštakaitė V. 2017. *Blue light dosage affects carotenoids and tocopherols in microgreens*. Food Chem, 228: 50-56. doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.01.144
- Sreeramulu D., Reddy C., Raghunath M. 2009. *Antioxidant activity of commonly consumed cereals, millets, pulses and legumes in India*. Indian J Biochem Biophys, 46: 112-115. <http://nopr.niscair.res.in/handle/123456789/3325>
- Sushama A., Marathe V., Rajalakshmi Jamdar S.N., Sharma A. 2011. *Comparative study on antioxidant activity of different varieties of commonly consumed legumes in India*. Food Chem Toxicol, 49(9): 2005-2012. doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2011.04.039
- Tkacz K., Wojdyło A., Turkiewicz I.P., Bobak Ł., Nowicka P. 2019. *Anti-oxidant and anti-enzymatic activities of sea buckthorn (Hippophaë rhamnoides L.) fruits modulated by chemical components*. Antioxidants, 8: 618. doi.org/10.3390/antiox8120618
- Van Hung P. 2016. *Phenolic compounds of cereals and their antioxidant capacity*. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutrit, 56(1): 25-35. doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2012.708909
- Wakeham P. 2013. *The medicinal and pharmacological screening of wheatgrass juice (Triticum aestivum L.): an investigation into chlorophyll content and antimicrobial activity*. Plymouth Stud Sci., 6: 20-30. <https://pearl.plymouth.ac.uk/handle/10026.1/14033>
- Wojdyło A., Nowicka P., Tkacz K., Turkiewicz I.P. 2020. *Sprouts vs. microgreens as novel functional foods: Variation of nutritional and phytochemical profiles and their in vitro bioactive properties*. Molecules, 25(20): 4648. doi.org/10.3390/molecules25204648
- Xiao Z., Lester G.e., Luo Y., Wang Q. 2012. *Assessment of vitamin and carotenoid concentrations of emerging food products: Edible microgreens*. J. Agric. Food Chem., 60: 7644-7651. doi.org/10.1021/jf300459b
- Xiao Z., Lester G.E., Park E., Saftner R.A., Luo Y., Wang Q. 2015. *Evaluation and correlation of sensory attributes and chemical compositions of emerging fresh produce: Microgreens*. Postharvest Biol Technol, 110: 140-148. doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2015.07.021
- Xu M.J., Dong J.F., Zhu M.Y. 2005. *Effects of germination conditions on ascorbic acid level and yield of soybean sprouts*. J. Sci. Food Agric., 85: 943-947. doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2050
- Zhao Y., Du S.K., Wang H., Cai M. 2014. *In vitro antioxidant activity of extracts from common legumes*. Food Chem, 152: 462-466. doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.12.006
- Złotek U., Szymanowska U., Baraniak B., Karaś M. 2015. *Antioxidant activity of polyphenols of adzuki bean (Vigna angularis) germinated in abiotic stress conditions*. Acta Sci Pol Technol Aliment., 14(1): 55-63. doi.org/10.17306/J.AFS.2015.1.6. PMID: 28068020