EFFECT OF NITROGEN FERTILIZATION ON THE YIELD AND NUTRITIVE VALUE OF *BETA VULGARIS* L.

Katarzyna Dzida, Zbigniew Jarosz, Zenia Micha³ojæ

Chair of Cultivation and Fertilization of Horticultural Plants University of Life Sciences in Lublin

Abstract

Leaf beet is one of several types of Beta vulgaris L. The vegetable originates from the Mediterranean area but is currently grown on a large scale in the US and Western Europe, e.g. Switzerland, Germany, France or England. In Poland, it is a less known vegetable, despite its high content of many valuable nutrients and excellent taste. One of the main factors affecting the size and quality of yield is nitrogen fertilization. Nitrogen is a structural element of plants and its deficiency significantly inhibits production of new plant tissue. This element is a component of nucleic acids, nucleotides, coenzymes, chlorophyll, phytohormones and cytokinines. The aim of this study was to conduct research on the effect of varied nitrogen fertilization on yielding and chemical composition of aerial parts of leaf beet. The experiment used three doses of nitrogen (0.2, 0.4, 0.6 g N dm⁻³ of medium) in the form of ammonium nitrate or urea. Plants were cultivated in a greenhouse, in 4-litre pots filled with highmoor peat limed to pH of 5.6 with waste chalk. The following fertilizers were used in the experiment: ammonium nitrate - 34% N, urea - 46% N, monobasic potassium phosphate – 23% P, 28.2% K, magnesium sulphate – 15.6% Mg, and microelements. In the cultivation of leaf beet, application of 0.4 g N dm⁻³ $\rm NH_4NO_3$ proved to be most beneficial as it led to the highest yield of fresh mass of leaves and highest content of L-ascorbic acid, whereas application of $CO(NH_2)_2$ in the amount of 0.2 g N dm⁻³ of the growth medium proved to be best, as it resulted in the lowest nitrate share in the dry mass of leaves. Regardless of the type of nitrogen fertilization, growth in the total salt concentration (EC) in the medium was shown as an effect of increasing doses of the applied fertilizers. Increasing the nitrogen concentration by 100% in the growth medium in relation to the lowest dose raised the Fe and Cu content in beet leaves and depressed that of Zn and Mn irrespective of the applied nitrogen fertilization.

Key words: *Beta vulgaris* L., doses and forms of nitrogen, nitrates, medium, macro-, micronutrients.

Katarzyna Dzida PhD, Chair of Cultivation and Fertilization of Horticultural Plants University of Life Sciences in Lublin, Leszczyńskiego 50, 20-068 Lublin, Poland, e-mail: katarzyna.dzida@up.lublin

ODDZIA£YWANIE NAWO⁻ENIA AZOTOWEGO NA PLON I WARTOŒÆ OD⁻YWCZ¥ BURAKA LIŒCIOWEGO

Abstrakt

Burak liœciowy jest jednym z typów uprawnych gatunku Beta vulgaris L. Ojczyzn¹ buraka liociowego s¹ kraje lej¹ce w rejonie Morza Œródziemnego. Obecnie roodina ta jest warzywem uprawianym na szerok¹ skalê w USA, a tak/e Europie Zachodniej: Szwajcarii, Niemczech, Francji, Anglii. W Polsce jest warzywem ma³o znanym, mimo ¿e zawiera wiele cennych sk³adników od wczych i smakowych. Jednym z g³ównych czynników wp³ywaj¹cych na wielkome i jakome plonu jest nawozenie azotowe. Azot jest pierwiastkiem budulcowym roclin, a jego niedobór istotnie ogranicza powstawanie nowych tkanek roclinnych. Pierwiastek ten wchodzi w sk³ad kwasów nukleinowych, nukleotydów, koenzymów, chlorofilu, fitohormonów, cytokinin. Celem pracy by³o okreœlenie wp³ywu zróżnicowanego nawożenia azotem na plonowanie oraz sk³ad chemiczny czêœci nadziemnych buraka liœciowego. W doœwiadczeniu zastosowano trzy dawki azotu (0,2, 0,4, 0,6 g N dm⁻³ pod³o¿a) w postaci saletry amonowej lub mocznika. Rodiny uprawiano w szklarni w doniczkach czterolitrowych wype³nionych torfem wysokim zwapnowanym kred¹ nawozow¹ do pH 5,6. W doœwiadczeniu stosowano nastêpuj¹ce nawozy: saletrê amonow¹ - 34% N, mocznik -46% N. fosforan monopotasowy - 23% P: 28.2% K. siarczan magnezu - 15.6% Mg. mikroelementy. W uprawie buraka liociowego najkorzystniejsze okaza³o siê stosowanie 0,4 g N dm^{-3} NH₄NO₃ ze względu na największy plon owiejej masy lioci i największ¹ zawartowe kwasu L-askorbinowego, natomiast ze względu na najmniejszy udzia³ azotanów w suchej masie liaci najlepsze okaza³o siê podanie CO(NH2)2 w iloaci 0,2 g N dm-3 pod³o¿a. Niezale¿nie od rodzaju nawozu azotowego wykazano wzrost ogólnej koncentracji soli (EC) w pod³oju pod wp³ywem wzrastaj¹cych dawek stosowanych nawozów. Zwiêkszenie stêjenia azotu o 100% w pod³o;u w stosunku do dawki najni;szej powodowa³o wzrost zawartoœci Fe, Cu w liociach buraka, a spadek Zn i Mn niezale, nie od zastosowanego nawozu azotowego.

S³owa kluczowe: Beta vulgaris L., dawki i formy azotu, azotany, makro-, mikroelementy.

INTRODUCTION

Leaf beet (*Beta vulgaris* L. *var. cicla* L.), a member of the family *Chenopodiaceae*, is a biennial plant (KRETSCHMER 1999). In the first year of vegetation, it produces a rosette of oval root leaves, which are sometimes crenulated, with long, slightly ribbed petioles and the colour ranging from silver white through yellow, green, pink to red. The leaf blade can be smooth or bubbly, bending downwards at the edges. Depending on a variety, the color of leaf blades is yellow and green, green or red.

Nitrogen fertilization is one of the main factors affecting the size and quality of yield of crops. With respect to species whose vegetative organs (roots, shoots, leaves) are regarded as commercial yield, higher yield can be obtained through rational increase of nitrogen fertilizer doses and supplementation with other macro- and microelements. Nitrogen is a very important structural element in plants, which contain between 1 and 6% of nitrogen in dry mass, and nitrogen deficiency significantly inhibits production of new plant tissues. An optimum supply of nitrogen ensures proper development of plants. Nitrogen is a constituent of nucleic acids, nucleotides, coenzymes, chlorophyll, phytohormones and cytokinins (GABRY@ 2002).

Proper application of fertilizers has a positive effect on plant nutrition, although excessive amounts of nitrogen fertilizers diminish the quality of yield, impair resistance of plants to weather conditions (drought, wind, temperature) or pathogens, depress the consumption value and shorten storage life (WÓJCIK-WOJTKOWIAK et al. 2000). In order to keep in good health, people need an optimum diet, which must comprise fruit and vegetables of the highest possible biological value (EMIECHOWSKA, PRZYBYŁOWSKI 2000).

The aim of this paper has been to investigate the effect of varied nitrogen fertilization on yield and chemical composition of edible parts of leaf beet. In the experiment, three nitrogen doses were applied (0.2, 0.4, 0.6 g N dm⁻³ of the growth medium) in the form of ammonium nitrate or urea.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment on *Beta vulgaris* L. cv. Vulcan was conducted in 2009 (20.03-19.05) and 2010 (07.04-31.05). The vegetable was grown for 62 days from sowing to harvesting (37 days from planting to the permanent site).

The experiment was set up in a complete randomization design with 6 series and 8 replications. Single plants were grown in 4-liter pots, filled with highmoor peat limed with $CaCO_3$. The content of mineral compounds in peat prior to planting to the permanent site was (in mg dm⁻³): N-NH₄-tr., N-NO₃-15, P-PO₄-tr., K-12, Ca-58, Mg-8.

The following fertilizers were used in the experiment: ammonium nitrate – 34% N, urea – 46% N, monobasic potassium phosphate – 23% P, 28.2% K (0.5 P, 0.6 K g dm⁻³), magnesium sulphate – 15.6% Mg (0.5 g Mg dm⁻³), microelements.

Three doses of nitrogen: 0.2, 0.4, 0.6 g N dm⁻³ in the form of ammonium nitrate or urea were applied in the experiment. Prior to planting to the permanent site, the entire dose of phosphorus, calcium, microelements and $\frac{1}{4}$ dose of nitrogen, potassium and magnesium were applied (14.04.2009; 27.04.2010). The remaining amounts of nutrients (N, K, Mg) were applied on two days during the vegetation period (24.04 and 05.05.2009; 07.05 and 17.05.2010).

Mineral compounds N-NH₄, N-NO₃, P-PO₄, K, Ca, Mg, S-SO₄, Cl in the medium were determined in an extract of 0.03 M of acetic acid at a volumetric solution to medium ratio of 10:1, with addition of active carbon (Nowo-SIELSKI 1988).

Nitrate nitrogen and ammonium nitrogen were analyzed with Bremner method according to Starck modification. Phosphorus was determined with ammonium metavanadate, S-SO₄ – with barium chloride, Cl – with silver nitrate by colorimetry, and potassium, calcium and magnesium were determined with atomic absorption spectrometry ASA (Perkin-Elmer, Analyst 300). The pH of the medium was checked as well as the salt concentration (EC) in mS cm⁻¹ in a suspension of distilled water and the examined medium in a 2 : 1 volumetric ratio (NowOSIELSKI 1988).

Fresh mass yield was determined by measuring the height and weight of aerial parts of the plants on termination of the experiment. L-ascorbic acid was determined with Tillmans method in fresh plant material (PN-A--04019 1998) and the extract was analyzed refractometrically. The dry material was analyzed for total nitrogen with Kjeldahl method and after dry mineralization at 550°C, after dissolving ash with muriatic acid diluted in water in a 1 : 2 vol. ratio. P, K, Ca, Mg were determined with the same methods as applied to the medium analysis. N-NH₄, N-NO₃, S-SO₄, Cl were determined in 2% extract of acetic acid with the same methods as applied to the medium analysis. The microelements Fe, Zn, Mn and Cu were determined after dry mineralization at 550 °C with the ASA method.

The results were verified statistically using analysis of variance on mean values and the differences were evaluated with Tukey's test at the significance level α =0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Visually, no differences in plant pigmentation were observed under the influence of the examined factors, and all the plants were characterized by the deep green color of leaves with red ribs of leaf blades and petioles.

The experiment showed significant effect of nitrogen dose and type of nitrogen fertilizer on the yield of leaf beet and length of leaves. The highest yield of leaves (351.8 g plant⁻¹) was obtained after the application of 0.4 g N dm⁻³ in the form of NH₄NO₃. The lowest yield (221 g plant⁻¹) was obtained after the application of lowest nitrogen dose (0.2 g dm⁻³) in the form of CO(NH₂)₂. When NH₄NO₃ was used for fertilization, plants were higher than from the treatments where CO(NH₂)₂.was applied. Analyzing the effect of a fertilizer dose on the size of plants, it was discovered that plants which had received 0.4 g N dm⁻³) in the form of NH₄NO₃ and CO(NH₂)₂ were higher by approx. 3 cm than the ones from the treatments with the lowest dose of N (0.2 g dm⁻³) – Table 1.

Many authors who have tested the effect of a nitrogen fertilizer dose on the volume of yield of vegetables confirm the positive effect of increasing nitrogen doses on yield of fresh mass (DZIDA 2004, KOŁOTA, CZERNIAK 2010).

Tal	ble	1
	010	-

	-		,		iii iei uiiizauioii		
Nitrogen fertilizer	Dose N (g dm ⁻³)	Plant unit weight (g)	Leaf length (cm)	Dry matter (%)	L-ascorbic acid (mg 100 g ⁻¹ f.w.)	Protein (%)	Extract (%)
NH ₄ NO ₃	0.2 0.4 0.6	268.8 351.8 327.7	48.25 51.50 49.50	8.91 7.83 7.50	36.60 42.50 39.70	16.75 32.21 37.29	4.87 4.10 3.73
Mean for N	H ₄ NO ₃	316.2	49.75	8.08	39.60	28.75	4.23
CO(NH ₂) ₂	0.2 0.4 0.6	221.0 239.1 224.7	$\begin{array}{c} 42.63 \\ 45.25 \\ 41.75 \end{array}$	8.45 7.31 8.23	39.70 40.97 32.90	33.56 33.94 37.52	$5.17 \\ 4.93 \\ 5.00$
Mean for C	O(NH ₂) ₂	228.3	43.21	7.99	37.86	35.01	5.03
Mean for dose	$0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.6$	244.9 295.5 276.2	45.44 48.38 45.63	8.68 7.57 7.86	$38.15 \\ 41.74 \\ 36.30$	$25.16 \\ 33.08 \\ 37.41$	$5.02 \\ 4.52 \\ 4.37$
LSD _{0.05} Fertilizer N Dose N Nitrogen fe dose nitroge	rtilizer x	12.24 18.03 31.35	1.89 2.79 i.d.	i.d. i.d. i.d.	i.d. i.d. i.d.	3.26 4.89 8.72	0.46 i.d. i.d.

Plant unit weight, leaf length, L-ascorbic acid, dry matter, protein and extract content in beet leaves, depending on nitrogen fertilization

i.d. - insignificant differences

MICHAEOJÆ (1994), KOZIK (2006) as well as JAROSZ and DZIDA (2006) obtained higher yields after application of increasing nitrogen fertilization. KALEMBASA and DESKA (1996), however, reported different results from their research on lettuce, in which they showed that an increase of nitrogen fertilizer doses did not have a distinct effect on lettuce yield.

Our analysis of the plant material showed that as the nitrogen dose introduced to the growth medium increased, the content of nitrates in leaves likewise increased. The content of nitrates in the leaves depended on the type of nitrogen fertilizer and its dose. Less of nitrates was in leaves of plants fertilized with $CO(NH_2)_2$ than in leaves of plants fertilized with NH_4NO_3 . The lowest level of nitrates (0.11% d.m.) was determined after the application of the lowest dose of $CO(NH_2)_2$, and the highest one (1% d.m.) – following the application of the highest dose of NH_4NO_3 . The ammonium form of nitrogen in leaves of *Beta vulgaris* L. appeared in trace amounts (Table 2).

Increasing doses of nitrogen fertilizers can lead to the growth of nitrates in plant material (MICHALIK, STÉPOWSKA 1995, WOJCIECHOWSKA et al. 2000). KRÊ-EL and KOŁOTA (2007), who analyzed the content of nitrates in red beet, confirmed the above conclusion with their experimental results. Vegetables

Table 2

Nitrogen fertilizer	Dose N (g dm ⁻³)	N-total	N-NH ₄	$N-NO_3$	Р	К	Са	Mg	S	Cl
$\rm NH_4NO_3$	0.2 0.4 0.6	2.68 5.15 5.97	$0.10 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.04$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.35 \\ 0.70 \\ 1.00 \end{array}$	2.12 1.87 1.13	$4.45 \\ 4.45 \\ 4.27$	0.86 0.61 0.33	0.62 0.67 0.46	0.34 0.29 0.22	$1.16 \\ 1.22 \\ 1.16$
Mean for NH ₄ N	NO_3	4.60	0.06	0.68	1.71	4.39	0.60	0.58	0.29	1.18
$CO(NH_2)_2$	$0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.6$	$5.37 \\ 5.43 \\ 6.43$	$0.04 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.16$	0.11 0.19 0.23	2.20 2.24 2.28	$\begin{array}{c} 4.84 \\ 4.61 \\ 4.67 \end{array}$	$0.59 \\ 0.40 \\ 0.35$	$0.48 \\ 0.39 \\ 0.42$	$0.41 \\ 0.43 \\ 0.46$	$0.44 \\ 0.42 \\ 0.53$
Mean for CO(N	$({\rm H_2})_2$	5.74	0.09	0.18	2.24	4.71	0.45	0.43	0.43	0.46
Mean for dose	0.2 0.4 0.6	4.03 5.29 6.20	$0.07 \\ 0.07 \\ 0.10$	$0.23 \\ 0.45 \\ 0.62$	$2.16 \\ 2.06 \\ 1.71$	$4.65 \\ 4.53 \\ 4.47$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.73 \\ 0.51 \\ 0.34 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.55 \\ 0.53 \\ 0.44 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.37 \\ 0.36 \\ 0.34 \end{array}$	$0.80 \\ 0.82 \\ 0.85$
LSD _{0.05} Fertilizer N Dose N Nitrogen fertili dose nitrogen	zer x	0.320 0.479 0.854	0.019 0.029 0.052	0.026 0.039 0.071	0.116 0.175 0.311	0.221 i.d. i.d.	0.07 0.106 0.189	$0.04 \\ 0.06 \\ 0.105$	0.033 i.d. 0.088	0.063 i.d. i.d.

Macroelements in beet leave depending on nitrogen fertilizing (% d.m.)

i.d. - insignificant differences

with a short vegetation period (e.g. spinach) produce higher yield when more nitrogen fertilizer is applied, but simultaneously they absorb excess nitrates and the biological value of yield is lower. Therefore, fertilizing recommendations should be formulated that would consider the highest biological quality of vegetables and not just the highest yield. With the application of 200 kg N per 1 ha, the highest yield of spinach was produced, but when using 80 kg N per 1 ha the biological value of yield was much more superior (quality of protein, content of nutrients, vitamin C and carbohydrates) while the content of nitrates was low.

The content of nitrates in plant material is mostly affected by nitrogen fertilization, but also by the plant species and variety, harvest date, insolation, and the growth medium (KOZIK 2006, DZIDA, JAROSZ 2010).

Plant species have different nitrogen demands. CZUBA (1996) states that application of increasing doses of nitrogen fertilizer to a medium with a high organic matter content has a significant effect on increasing nitrogen concentrations in plants. Among several factors which can lower the nitrogen content in plants, noteworthy is the right timing of harvest.

Different parts of plants tend to accumulate different amounts of nitrogen, e.g. highest amounts of nitrates are gathered in leaf vegetables with a short vegetation period and in *Brassica* vegetables; less of nitrates will be found in root vegetables, followed by vegetable fruits, and the smallest concentrations of these compounds accumulate in generative parts of plants (SADY 2001, WOJCIECHOWSKA, RO-EK 2006).

The average content of total nitrogen in beet leaves was 5.17% d.m. The increasing nitrogen doses were observed to have produced an evident effect on the growth of total nitrogen accumulation in plant leaves. The highest content of N-total (6.43% d.m.) has been noted in aerial parts of beet cultivated with the highest dose of $CO(NH_2)_2$, whereas the lowest content of N-total (2.68% d.m.) has occurred in leaves of beet supplemented with the lowest dose of NH_4NO_3 (Table 2)

Interesting results were obtained from our analysis of the content L-ascorbic acid in Beta vulgaris L. The applied doses of nitrogen fertilizer differentiated the vitamin C concentration in the examined plants, but the effect was ambiguous. Plants fertilized with the average nitrogen dose of 0.4 g dm^{-3} in the form of NH_4NO_3 were characterized by the highest content of vitamin C (42.5 mg 100 g⁻¹ f.m.), whereas the lowest content of vitamin C (32.9 mg 100 g^{-1} f.m.) was obtained in response to the fertilization with 0.6 g N dm⁻³ as CO(NH₂)₂. While analyzing the effect of nitrogen fertilizer type on vitamin C in leaf beet, higher vit. C concentration was found in plants fertilized with NH4NO3 than in plants supplemented with $CO(NH_2)_2$ – Table 1. The results of our study show that increasing doses of nitrogen in the form of CO(NH₂)₂ depress the L-ascorbic acid content in leaf beet plant. A similar dependence was demonstrated by Kozik (1998) in experiments conducted on lettuce. However, DZIDA and PITURA (2008) as well as VENTER (1983) in their experiments with leaf beet noted a higher vitamin C content in response to a growing nitrogen dose. In addition, POKLUDA and KUBEN (2002) claim that the vitamin C content in leaf beet plants also depends on its variety. The varieties Beta vulgaris which they analyzed were characterized by a wide range of vitamin C concentration, between 23.8 and 37.8 mg 100 g⁻¹ f.m.

The average content of protein in beet leaves was 31.88% d.m. The increasing nitrogen doses clearly raised the protein accumulation in leaves has been noted. Raising the nitrogen dose from 0.2 to 0.4 g dm⁻³ in the growth medium led to a decrease in the dry matter and extract content in beet leaves, regardless of the applied nitrogen fertilizer (Table 1).

Significant influence of the nitrogen fertilizer type on all the determined nutrients present in aerial parts of leaf beet was noted. Leaves of plants fertilized with urea accumulated more N-total, N-NH₄, P, K, S, Fe, Zn, Mn and Cu compared to plants which were fertilized with ammonium nitrate. Also the dose of used nitrogen fertilizers had a significant effect on the mineral composition of leaf beet. When the nitrogen dose rose from 0.2 to 0.4 g dm⁻³ in the medium, the Fe and Cu content in beet leaves increased, while the concentrations of K, Ca, Zn and Mn declines, irrespective of the applied nitrogen fertilizer (Tables 2 and 3).

Nitrogen fertilizer	Dose N (g dm ⁻³)	Fe	Zn	Mn	Cu
$\mathrm{NH}_4\mathrm{NO}_3$	0.2 0.4 0.6	82.54 97.47 89.73	$112.0 \\ 94.5 \\ 70.5$	483.0 469.5 461.0	9.24 10.28 7.87
Mean for $\rm NH_4 NO_3$		89.91	92.33	471.1	9.13
CO(NH ₂) ₂	0.2 0.4 0.6	$128.7 \\ 141.0 \\ 144.8$	$179.0 \\ 130.5 \\ 107.5$	$635.0 \\ 441.0 \\ 488.0$	$12.81 \\ 13.24 \\ 5.24$
Mean for CO(NH ₂) ₂		138.2	139.0	521.3	10.43
Mean for dose	0.2 0.4 0.6	105.6 119.2 117.2	$145.5 \\ 112.5 \\ 89.0$	559.0 455.2 474.5	11.03 11.76 6.56
LSD _{0.05} Fertilizer N Dose N Nitrogen fertilizer x dose nitr	ogen	$3.758 \\ 5.773 \\ 10.59$	6.422 9.865 18.09	$2.854 \\ 4.385 \\ 8.045$	$0.488 \\ 0.749 \\ 1.374$

Microelements in beet leave on nitrogen fertilizing (% d.m.)

i.d. - insignificant differences

The results of the chemical analysis of the growth media are contained in Table 4. The mineral nitrogen content $(N-NH_4 + N-NO_3)$ depended on the size of a dose of nitrogen fertilizers, and tended to increase at higher rates of nitrogen fertilizers. The highest concentration of ammonium nitrogen was noted in the medium enriched with the highest dose of nitrogen (0.6 g dm^{-3}) in the form of $CO(NH_2)_2$.

In the treatments with urea, the concentration of potassium was 68% higher than in the treatments fertilized with ammonium nitrate, which resulted in a higher concentration of salt in the medium when urea was used for fertilization.

The reaction of the growth media remained within the range of 5.58 and 6.15. The level of nitrogen fertilization ambiguously differentiated pH of the medium in treatments with NH_4NO_3 , but when a double dose of nitrogen in the form of $CO(NH_2)_2$ had been used, a rise in the medium pH was noticed.

When the dose of 0.6 g N dm⁻³ in the form of $CO(NH_2)_2$ had been used for plant nutrition, the medium was characterized by the highest EC value (1.41 mS cm⁻¹). The total concentration of salt in the medium was in the range of 0.65 and 1.41 mS cm⁻¹. Increasing doses of nitrogen fertilization, whether as NH_4NO_3 or $CO(NH_2)_2$, were followed by increasing salt concentrations in the growth medium. Table 4

Chemical	concentration (mg dm ^{-3}) and pH, EC values (mS cm ^{-1}) in the media after termination of the experiment	mg dm ⁻	⁻³) and pH	, EC value	s (mS cm ⁻	^{.1}) in the r	nedia afte	r terminati	ion of the e	xperiment	
Nitrogen fertilizer	Dose N (g dm ⁻³)	$N-NH_4$	N-NO ₃	$P-PO_4$	K+	Ca ²⁺	Mg ²⁺	$S-SO_4$	Cl-	EC	pH _{H20}
$\mathrm{NH}_4\mathrm{NO}_3$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	56.33 140.6 237.3	$\begin{array}{c} 19.33 \\ 37.33 \\ 86.67 \end{array}$	154.3 166.0 231.3	59.0 35.33 32.67	$1900 \\ 2225 \\ 1826$	308.3 351.3 357.6	344.3 498.0 474.0	25.33 30.67 45.00	0.65 0.95 1.33	5.67 5.58 5.67
Mean for $\rm NH_4 NO_3$		144.7	47.78	183.8	42.33	1984	339.1	438.7	33.67	76.0	5.58-5.67
$CO(NH_2)_2$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	58.67 196.6 351.0	12.67 22.33 30.33	231.0 151.3 175.6	126.3 119.3 156.3	2002 2211 2494	338.3 339.3 300.6	405.0 426.6 435.3	$\begin{array}{c} 14.00 \\ 18.00 \\ 81.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.96 \\ 1.11 \\ 1.41 \end{array}$	5.73 6.15 6.08
Mean for CO(NH ₂) ₂		202.1	21.78	186.00	134.0	2236	326.1	422.3	37.67	1.16	5.73 - 6.15
Mean for dose	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	57.50 168.6 294.1	16.00 29.83 58.50	$\begin{array}{c} 192.6 \\ 158.6 \\ 203.5 \end{array}$	92.67 77.33 94.50	$1951 \\ 2218 \\ 2160 \\$	323.3 345.3 329.1	374.6 462.3 454.6	$\begin{array}{c} 19.67 \\ 24.33 \\ 63.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.81 \\ 1.03 \\ 1.37 \end{array}$	5.67-5.73 5.58-6.15 5.67-6.08
LSD _{0.05} Fertilizer N Dose N Nitrogen fertilizer x dose nitrogen	se nitrogen	24.74 37.09 66.09	3.022 4.531 8.073	i.d. 40.32 71.85	23.58 i.d. i.d.	213.2 i.d. 569.6	i.d. i.d. 44.22	i.d. 67.63 i.d.	i.d. 12.27 21.87	0.174 0.260 i.d.	
JU-L - J L .											

i.d. – insignificant differences

CONCLUSIONS

1. In cultivation of leaf beet, an application of 0.4 g N dm⁻³ NH₄NO₃ proved to be the most favourable, as it led to the highest yield of fresh mass of leaves and the highest L-ascorbic acid content. In turn, owing to the lowest share of nitrates in the dry mass of leaves, an application of 0.2 g N dm⁻³ of the medium in the form of urea proved to be most superior.

2. When urea had been used for leaf beet fertilization, the aerial parts of this plant accumulated more N-total, N-NH₄, P, K, S, Fe, Zn, Mn, Cu than in plants nourished with ammonium nitrate.

3. Regardless of the type of nitrogen fertilizer, an increase of the total salt concentration (EC) in the growth medium occurred in response to the growing doses of nitrogen fertilizers.

REFERENCES

- BIESIADA A., KOEOTA E. 2010. The effect of nitrogen fertilization on yielding and chemical composition of radicchio chicory for autumn harvest cultivation. Acta Sci. Pol. Hort. Cult., 9(4): 85-91.
- CZUBA R. 1996. Technika nawo¿enia mineralnego a zawartoœ azotanów w roœlinach [Mineral fertilization technology versus the content of nitrates in plants]. Zesz. Probl. Post. Nauk Rol., 440: 65-73. (in Polish)
- DZIDA K. 2004. Wp³yw nawo¿enia azotowo-potasowego na plonowanie buraka liaciowego (Beta vulgaris L. var. cicla L.) i zawartoaæ sk³adników w pod³o¿u [Effect of nitrogenpotassium fertilization on yields of leaf beet (Beta vulgaris L. var. cicla L.) and concentration of nutrients in the growth medium]. Rocz. AR Pozn., CCCVI, Ogrodn., 37: 55-60. (in Polish)
- DZIDA K., JAROSZ J. 2010. Effect of calcium carbonate and differentiated nitrogen fertilization upon the yield and chemical composition of spinach beet. Acta Sci. Pol. Hort. Cul., 9(3): 201-210.
- DZIDA K., PITURA K. 2008. The influence of varied nitrogen fertilization on yield and chemical composition of swiss chard (Beta vulgaris L. var cicla L.). Acta Sci. Pol. Hort. Cult., 7(3): 15–24.
- GABRYG H. 2002. Gospodarka azotowa. W: Fizjologia rodin. [Nitrogen management. In: Plant physiology]. J. KOPCEWICZ, S. LEWAK. PWN, Warszawa. (in Polish)
- JAROSZ Z., DZIDA K. 2006. Wp³yw zróżnicowanego nawożenia azotowo-potasowego na plonowanie i sk³ad chemiczny sa³aty [Effect of different nitrogen-potassium fertilization regimes on yield and chemical composition of lettuce]. Acta Agroph., 7(3): 591-597. (in Polish)
- KALEMBASA S., DESKA J. 1996. Effect of doses and forms of nitrogen on yield of leaves and nitrate concentration in lettuce. Zesz. Probl. Post. Nauk Rol., 440: 145-149.
- KOEOTA E., CZERNIAK K. 2010. The effects of nitrogen fertilization on yield and nutritional value of swiss chard. Acta Sci. Pol. Hort. Cult., 9(2): 31-37.
- KOZIK E. 1998. Wp³yw poziomu nawojenia azotem na plon oraz zawartowci cukrów i kwasu askorbinowego w siedmiu odmianach sa³aty [Effect of nitrogen fertilization level on yield and content of carbohydrates and L-ascorbic acid in seven lettuce varities]. VII Konf. Nauk. nt. Efektywnome stosowania nawozów w uprawach ogrodniczych, ss. 93-96. (in Polish)

KOZIK E. 2006. Wp³yw terminu zbioru oraz nawo¿enia azotem i potasem na zawartoœ azotanów w sa³acie uprawianej w szklarni [Effect of harvest date and nitrogen-potassium fertilization on the content of nitrates in lettuce grown in the greenhouse]. Acta Agroph., 7: 633-642. (in Polish)

KRETSCHMER M., 1999. Rote Rübe Und Mangold (Beta vulgaris L.). Gemüse, 8:507.

- KRÊ-EL J., KOLOTA E. 2007. Wp³yw nawo¿enia azotowego na plonowanie i wartoœ biologiczn¹ buraka æwik³owego uprawianego na zbiór pêczkowy [Role of nitrogen fertilization on yield and biological value of the beetroot grown for bunch harvest]. Rocz. AR Pozn. CCCLXXXIII, Ogrodn., 41: 547-552. (in Polish)
- MICHALIK H., STEPOWSKA A. 1995. Zawartome azotanów w kilku odmianach sa³aty mas³owej uprawianej pod os³onami. ⁻ywnome Technologia Jakome, Kraków, 2(3): 115.
- MICHAEOJÆ Z. 1994. Wp³yw zróżnicowanego nawożenia azotowo-potasowego na plonowanie i sk³ad chemiczny sa³aty, rzodkiewki, szpinaku. Mat. Konf. nt. Znaczenie potasu i magnezu w uprawie rodin ogrodniczych. Skierniewice, 35-38.
- Nowosielski O. 1988. Zasady opracowywania zaleceń nawozowych w ogrodnictwie [Principles of preparation of fertilizing recommendations in horticulture]. PWRiL, Warszawa. (in Polish)
- PN-A-0419: 1998. Produkty spo¿ywcze Oznaczanie zawartowci witaminy C [Food products determination of vitamin C content; Polish norms].
- POKLUDA R., KUBEN J. 2002. Comparison of selected Swiss chard (Beta vulgaris ssp. cicla L.) varietes. Hort. Sci., 29(3): 14-118.
- SADY W. 2001. Czynniki ograniczaj¹ce zawartoœ azotanów i metali ciê;kich w warzywach Factors limiting the content of nitrates and heavy metals in vegetables]. Przem. Ferm., 5: 21-23.
- EMIECHOWSKA M., PRZYBYŁOWSKI P. 2000. Zawartome azotanów (V) oraz azotanów (III) we wspó³czesnych warzywach z uprawy ekologicznej [Content of nitrates(V) and nitrates(III) in contemporary organic vegetables]. Rocz. AR Pozn., Ogrod., Cz. I, 31: 521-525. (in Polish)
- VENTER F. 1983. Der Nitratgehalt In Chinnakohl (Brassica pecinenssi (Lour) Rupr.). Gantenbauwiss, 48: 6-12.
- WOJCIECHOWSKA R., SMOLEN S., PRZYBYŁO J. 2000. Zawartowe azotanów i azotynów w różnych czêwciach użytkowych wybranych gatunków warzyw [Content of nitrates and nitrites in different edible parts of some vegetable species]. Zesz. Nauk. AR w Krakowie, 364: 221-225. (in Polish)
- WOJCIECHOWSKA R., RO-EK S. 2006. Redukcja azotanów w różnych czêwciach użytkowych warzyw [Reduction of nitrates in different parts of cultivated vegetables]. Fol. Hort., 2: 203-205. (in Polish)
- WÓJCIK-WOJTKOWIAK D., POLITYCKA B., MIELCARZ B. 2000. Wartoæ biologiczna warzyw nawożonych ziemi¹ kompostow¹ [Biological value of vegetables fertilized with compost soil]. Zesz. Nauk. AR Kraków, 71(364): 209-212. (in Polish)